

ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED THAT:

1. The definitions of terms set forth in the Agreement are incorporated herein as though fully set forth in this Judgment.

2. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23(g), Class Counsel, previously appointed by the Court (Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP, Robins Kaplan LLP, and Susman Godfrey L.L.P.), are appointed as Counsel for the Settlement Classes (“Settlement Class Counsel”). These firms have, and will, fairly and competently represent the interests of the Settlement Classes.

3. The Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this litigation, over the equitable non-monetary relief contained in paragraph 4 herein, over the Actions, and over the parties to the Agreement, including all members of the Settlement Classes.

4. EPPs, having filed complaints in the Actions alleging that Maruyasu Industries conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Fuel Injection Systems and that the Maruyasu Defendants conspired to rig bids, allocate markets and fix prices for Steel Tubes (Fuel Injection Systems and Steel Tubes collectively are the “Relevant Products”), and the Maruyasu Defendants, having denied EPPs’ allegations and having represented they would assert defenses thereto, have entered into the Agreement to settle the Actions with respect to the Relevant Products, without admitting liability, to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by the Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against the Maruyasu Defendants with respect to the Relevant Products. The Maruyasu Defendants have agreed that for a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of entry of this Judgment not to engage in conduct that constitutes a *per se* violation of Section 1 of the Sherman

Act (whether characterized as price-fixing, market allocation, bid rigging, or otherwise) with respect to the sale of the Relevant Products as such terms are defined in the Agreement. Pursuant to the Agreement, the Maruyasu Defendants have agreed to provide specified monetary compensation to EPPs, and to cooperate with EPPs in connection with the continued prosecution of the Actions.

5. The Court hereby finally approves and confirms the settlement set forth in the Agreement and finds that said settlement is, in all respects, fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Settlement Classes pursuant to Rule 23.

6. The Court hereby dismisses on the merits and with prejudice the individual and class claims asserted against the Maruyasu Defendants, with EPPs and the Maruyasu Defendants to bear their own costs and attorneys' fees except as provided herein.

7. All persons and entities who are Releasors are hereby barred and enjoined from commencing, prosecuting, or continuing, either directly or indirectly, in an individual or representative or derivative capacity, against the Releasees, in this or any other jurisdiction, any and all claims, causes of action or lawsuits, which they had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

8. The Releasees are hereby and forever released and discharged with respect to any and all claims or causes of action which the Releasors had, have, or in the future may have, arising out of or related to any of the Released Claims as defined in the Agreement.

9. Neither the Agreement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to the Agreement, may be deemed or used as an admission of wrongdoing in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding in any jurisdiction.

10. The notice given to the Settlement Classes of the settlement set forth in the Agreement and the other matters set forth herein was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all members of the Settlement Classes who could be identified through reasonable efforts. Said notice provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e) and the requirements of due process.

11. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains exclusive jurisdiction over: (a) the enforcement of this Judgment; (b) the enforcement of the Agreement; (c) any application for distribution of funds, attorneys' fees or reimbursement of costs and expenses made by EPPs' Counsel; (d) any application for incentive awards for the EPPs; and (e) the distribution of the settlement proceeds to Settlement Class Members.

12. No one has timely and validly requested exclusion from the Settlement Classes.

13. In the event that the settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Agreement, then this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated, and in such event, all orders entered and releases delivered in connection herewith shall be null and void and the parties shall be returned to their respective positions *ex ante*.

14. The Escrow Accounts, into which the Maruyasu Defendants have deposited assets with a total value of US \$5,320,000.00 (\$5,211,300.15 for the Steel Tubes Action and \$108,699.85 for the Fuel Injection Systems Action) as the Settlement Amount (as defined in paragraphs 15 and 25 of the Agreement), plus accrued interest thereon and net of any expenses incurred as contemplated in paragraph 26 of the Agreement, are approved as Qualified Settlement Funds

pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 468B and the Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder.

15. The Court finds, pursuant to Rule 54(a) and (b) that this Judgment should be entered and further finds that there is no just reason for delay in the entry of this Judgment, as a final judgment, as to the parties to the Agreement.

16. The Court's certification of the Settlement Classes as provided herein is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including the Maruyasu Defendants, to contest certification of any other class proposed in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311. The Court's findings in this Judgment shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 12-md-02311. No party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Classes as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any class.

17. Accordingly, the Clerk is hereby directed to enter Judgment forthwith.

Dated: September 29, 2020

s/Sean F. Cox

Sean F. Cox
U. S. District Judge