

EXHIBIT 1

violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act and various state antitrust, unfair competition, unjust enrichment, and consumer protection laws as set forth in End-Payor Plaintiffs' Amended Class Action Complaint for Damages and Injunctive Relief ("Complaint") (Case No. 2:16-cv-03703, Doc. No. 70);

WHEREAS, Meritor denies End-Payor Plaintiffs' allegations and has asserted defenses to End-Payor Plaintiffs' claims in the Action;

WHEREAS, arm's-length settlement negotiations have taken place between Settlement Class Counsel (as defined below) and counsel for Meritor, during which Meritor provided substantial information regarding its sale of the Exhaust Systems business and its position that it withdrew from any alleged Exhaust Systems conspiracy, and this Agreement has been reached as a result of those negotiations;

WHEREAS, End-Payor Plaintiffs, through Settlement Class Counsel, have conducted an investigation into the facts and the law regarding the Action and have concluded that resolving the claims against Meritor, according to the terms set forth below, is in the best interests of End-Payor Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class because of the payment of the Settlement Amount and the value of the Injunctive Relief and Cooperation (as those terms are defined below) that Meritor has agreed to provide pursuant to this Agreement;

WHEREAS, Meritor, despite its belief that it is not liable for the claims asserted and its belief that it has good defenses thereto, has nevertheless agreed to enter into this Agreement to avoid further expense, inconvenience, and the distraction of burdensome and protracted litigation, and to obtain the releases, orders, and judgment contemplated by this Agreement, and to put to rest with finality all claims that have been or could have been asserted against

Meritor with respect to Exhaust Systems based on the allegations in the Action, as more particularly set out below.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the covenants, agreements, and releases set forth herein and for other good and valuable consideration, it is agreed by and among the undersigned that the Action be settled, compromised, and dismissed on the merits with prejudice as to the Releasees and except as hereinafter provided, without costs as to End-Payor Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, or Meritor, subject to the approval of the Court, on the following terms and conditions:

A. Definitions.

1. “End-Payor Plaintiff Class Representatives” means those Settlement Class Members, as defined in Paragraph 15, below, who are named plaintiffs in the Complaint.

2. “Cooperation” shall refer to those provisions set forth below in Paragraphs 35-47.

3. “Cooperation Materials” means any information, testimony, Documents (as defined below) or other material provided by Meritor under the terms of this Agreement.

4. “Defendant” means any party named as a defendant in the Action at any time up to and including the date when the Court has entered a final order certifying the Settlement Class described in Paragraph 13 and approving this Agreement under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure (“Rule”) 23(e).

5. “Document” is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of this term in Rule 34(a), including without limitation, electronically stored information. A draft or non-identical copy is a separate Document within the meaning of this term.

6. “Indirect Purchaser States” means Arizona, Arkansas, California, District of Columbia, Florida, Hawaii, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota,

Mississippi, Missouri, Montana, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Oregon, Rhode Island, South Carolina, South Dakota, Tennessee, Utah, Vermont, West Virginia, and Wisconsin.

7. “Exhaust Systems” shall have the meaning set forth in Paragraph 2 of the Complaint.

8. “Opt-Out Deadline” means the deadline set by the Court for the timely submission of requests by Settlement Class Members to be excluded from the Settlement Class.

9. “Reasonable best efforts” means making reasonable efforts and exercising reasonable diligence.

10. “Released Claims” means the Claims described in Paragraphs 24-25.

11. “Releasees” shall refer to (i) Meritor, (ii) all of Meritor’s past and present direct and indirect, parents, subsidiary companies and affiliates, including their respective predecessors, successors and assigns, and (iii) each and all of the present and former principals, partners, officers, directors, supervisors, employees, agents, stockholders, members, representatives, insurers, attorneys, heirs, executors, administrators, and assigns of each of the persons and each of the persons and entities listed in (i) and (ii). “Releasees” does not include any defendant in the MDL Litigation other than Meritor.

12. “Releasers” shall refer to End-Payor Plaintiffs Class Representatives and the Settlement Class Members, as defined in Paragraph 15, below, and to their past and present officers, directors, supervisors, employees, agents, stockholders, members, attorneys, servants, representatives, parents, subsidiaries, affiliates, principals, partners, insurers and all other persons, partnerships or corporations with whom any of the former have been, or are now,

affiliated, and the predecessors, successors, heirs, executors, administrators and assigns of any of the foregoing.

13. For purposes of this Agreement, the “Settlement Class” is defined as:

All persons and entities that, from January 1, 2002 through the Execution Date, purchased or leased a new Vehicle in the United States not for resale, which included one or more Exhaust System(s) as a component part, or indirectly purchased one or more Exhaust System(s) as a replacement part, which were manufactured or sold by a Defendant, any current or former subsidiary of a Defendant, or any co-conspirator of a Defendant. Excluded from the Settlement Class are Defendants, their parent companies, subsidiaries and affiliates, any co-conspirators, federal governmental entities and instrumentalities of the federal government, states and their subdivisions, agencies and instrumentalities, and persons who purchased Exhaust Systems directly or for resale.

14. “Settlement Class Counsel” shall refer to the law firms of:

Cotchett, Pitre, & McCarthy LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010

Robins Kaplan LLP
399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600
New York, NY 10022

Susman Godfrey L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067

15. “Settlement Class Member” means each member of the Settlement Class who has not timely elected to be excluded from the Settlement Class.

16. “Settlement Amount” shall be \$760,000, and the “Settlement Fund” shall be the Settlement Amount plus any income or accrued interest earned on that amount as set forth in Paragraph 26.

17. “Vehicles” shall refer to four-wheeled passenger automobiles, vans, sports utility vehicles, and crossover or pick-up trucks.

B. Approval of this Agreement and Dismissal of Claims Against Meritor.

18. End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor shall use their reasonable best efforts to effectuate this Agreement, including cooperating in seeking the Court’s approval for the establishment of procedures (including the giving of class notice under Rules 23(c) and (e)) to secure the complete, and final dismissal with prejudice of the Action as to the Releasees only.

19. Within thirty (30) days after the execution of this Agreement, End-Payor Plaintiffs shall submit to the Court a motion seeking preliminary approval of this Agreement (“Preliminary Approval Motion”). The Preliminary Approval Motion shall include (i) the proposed form of an order preliminarily approving this Agreement, and (ii) a proposed form of order and final judgment that shall include at least the terms set forth in Paragraph 21 below.

20. End-Payor Plaintiffs, at a time to be decided in their sole discretion, shall submit to the Court a motion for authorization to disseminate notice of the settlement and final judgment contemplated by this Agreement to all Settlement Class Members identified by End-Payor Plaintiffs (“Notice Motion”). To mitigate the costs of notice, End-Payor Plaintiffs shall endeavor, if practicable, to disseminate notice of this settlement with notice of any other settlements reached in the MDL Litigation. The Notice Motion shall include a proposed form of, method for, and date of dissemination of notice.

21. End-Payor Plaintiffs shall seek, and Meritor will not object unreasonably to, the entry of an order and final judgment in the Action. The terms of that proposed order and final judgment will include, at a minimum, the substance of the following provisions:

(a) certifying the Settlement Class described in Paragraph 13, pursuant to Rule 23, solely for purposes of this settlement as Settlement Class for the Action;

(b) as to the Action, approving finally this settlement and its terms as being a fair, reasonable, and adequate settlement as to the Settlement Class Members within the meaning of Rule 23 and directing its consummation according to its terms;

(c) directing that all Releasers shall, by operation of law, be deemed to have released all Releasees from the Released Claims.

(d) as to Meritor, directing that the Action be dismissed with prejudice and, except as provided for in this Agreement, without costs;

(e) reserving exclusive jurisdiction over the settlement and this Agreement, including the interpretation, administration and consummation of this settlement, to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan;

(f) determining under Rule 54(b) that there is no just reason for delay and directing that the judgment of dismissal in the Action as to Meritor shall be final; and

(g) providing that (i) the Court's certification of the Settlement Class is without prejudice to, or waiver of, the rights of any Defendant, including Meritor, to contest certification of any other class proposed in the MDL Litigation, (ii) the Court's findings in the Order shall have no effect on the Court's ruling on any motion to certify any class in the MDL Litigation or on the Court's rulings concerning any Defendant's motion; and (iii) no party may cite or refer to the Court's approval of the Settlement Class as persuasive or binding authority with respect to any motion to certify any such class or any Defendant's motion.

22. This Agreement shall become final when (i) the Court has entered a final order certifying the Settlement Class described in Paragraph 13 and approving this Agreement under Rule 23(e) and has entered a final judgment dismissing the Action with prejudice as to Meritor and without costs other than those provided for in this Agreement, and (ii) the time for appeal or

to seek permission to appeal from the Court's approval of this Agreement and entry of a final judgment as to Meritor described in (i) above has expired or, if appealed, approval of this Agreement and the final judgment in the Action as to Meritor has been affirmed in its entirety by the Court of last resort to which such appeal has been taken, and such affirmance has become no longer subject to further appeal or review, and no other motion or pleading is pending in any court. It is agreed that the provisions of Rule 60 shall not be taken into account in determining the above-stated times. On the date that End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor have executed this Agreement, End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor shall be bound by its terms and this Agreement shall not be rescinded except in accordance with Paragraphs 27(h) or 48 of this Agreement.

23. Neither this Agreement (whether or not it should become final) nor the final judgment, nor any and all negotiations, Documents, or discussions associated with them (including Cooperation Materials produced pursuant to Paragraphs 35-47), shall be deemed or construed to be an admission by Meritor, or evidence of any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever by Meritor, or of the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in any complaint or any other pleading filed in the MDL Litigation, and evidence thereof shall not be discoverable or used in any way, whether in the MDL Litigation, or any other arbitration, action or proceeding whatsoever, against Meritor. Nothing in this Paragraph shall prevent End-Payor Plaintiffs from using and/or introducing into evidence Cooperation Materials produced pursuant to Paragraphs 35-47, subject to the limitations in those Paragraphs, against any other defendants in the MDL Litigation or in confidential settlement discussions, or to develop and promulgate a plan of allocation and distribution. Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms and provisions, nor any of the negotiations or proceedings connected with it, nor any other action taken to carry out this Agreement by Meritor, shall be

referred to, offered as evidence or received in evidence in any pending or future civil, criminal, or administrative action, arbitration, or proceedings, except in a proceeding to enforce this Agreement, or to defend against the assertion of Released Claims, or as otherwise required by law.

C. Release, Discharge, and Covenant Not to Sue.

24. In addition to the effect of any final judgment entered in accordance with this Agreement, upon this Agreement becoming final, as set out in Paragraph 22 of this Agreement, and in consideration of payment of the Settlement Amount, as specified in Paragraph 26 of this Agreement, into the Settlement Fund, and for other valuable consideration, the Releasees shall be completely released, acquitted, and forever discharged from any and all claims, demands, actions, suits, causes of action, whether class, individual, or otherwise in nature (whether or not any Settlement Class Member has objected to the settlement or makes a claim upon or participates in the Settlement Fund, whether directly, representatively, derivatively or in any other capacity) that Releasors, or any of them, ever had, now has, or hereafter can, shall, or may ever have, that now exist or may exist in the future, on account of, or in any way arising out of, any and all known and unknown, foreseen and unforeseen, suspected or unsuspected, actual or contingent, liquidated or unliquidated claims, injuries, damages, and the consequences thereof in any way arising out of or relating in any way to (i) any conduct alleged in the Complaint, and/or (ii) any act or omission of the Releasees (or any of them) concerning Exhaust Systems, including, but not limited to, any conduct and causes of action alleged or asserted or that could have been alleged or asserted, in any class action or other complaint filed in the Action (“Released Claims”), provided however, that nothing herein shall release: (1) any claims made by direct purchasers of Exhaust Systems; (2) any claims made by automotive dealerships that are indirect purchasers of Exhaust Systems; (3) any claims made by any State, State agency, or

instrumentality or political subdivision of a State as to government purchases and/or penalties thereon; (4) claims involving any negligence, personal injury, breach of contract, bailment, failure to deliver lost goods, damaged or delayed goods, product defect, securities or similar claim relating to Exhaust Systems; (5) claims concerning any automotive part other than Exhaust Systems; (6) claims under laws other than those of the United States relating to purchases of Exhaust Systems made by any Releasor outside of the United States; and (7) claims for damages under the state or local laws of any jurisdiction other than an Indirect Purchaser State. Releasors shall not, after the date of this Agreement, seek to establish liability against any Releasee as to, in whole or in part, any of the Released Claims unless this Agreement is, for any reason, not finally approved or terminated.

25. In addition to the provisions of Paragraph 24 of this Agreement, Releasors hereby expressly waive and release, upon this Agreement becoming final, as set out in Paragraph 22 of this Agreement, any and all provisions, rights, and benefits, as to their claims concerning Exhaust Systems conferred by § 1542 of the California Civil Code, which states:

CERTAIN CLAIMS NOT AFFECTED BY GENERAL RELEASE. A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR;

or by any equivalent law or statute of any state or territory of the United States, or principle of common law, which is similar, comparable, or equivalent to § 1542 of the California Civil Code. Each Releasor may hereafter discover facts other than or different from those which he, she, or it knows or believes to be true with respect to the claims which are released pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph 24 of this Agreement, but each Releasor hereby expressly waives and fully, finally, and forever settles and releases, upon this Agreement becoming final, any known

or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent claim that Meritor and End-Payor Plaintiffs have agreed to release pursuant to Paragraph 24, whether or not concealed or hidden, without regard to the subsequent discovery or existence of such different or additional facts.

D. Settlement Amount.

26. Subject to the provisions hereof, and in full, complete and final settlement of the Action as provided herein, Meritor, shall pay or cause to be paid the Settlement Amount of U.S. \$760,000. The Settlement Amount shall be paid in U.S. dollars into an escrow account to be administered in accordance with the provisions of Paragraph 27 of this Agreement (“Escrow Account”) within thirty (30) days following the later of (i) entry of an order preliminarily approving this Agreement or (ii) the date Meritor is provided with the account number, account name and wiring transfer information for the Escrow Account.

27. Escrow Account.

(a) The Escrow Account will be established at Wells Fargo Bank with such Bank serving as escrow agent (“Escrow Agent”) subject to escrow instructions regarding investment types and reinvestment of income and proceeds mutually acceptable to Settlement Class Counsel and Meritor, such escrow to be administered by the Escrow Agent under the Court’s continuing supervision and control.

(b) The Escrow Agent shall cause the funds deposited in the Escrow Account to be invested in short-term instruments backed by the full faith and credit of the United States Government or fully insured in writing by the United States Government, or money market funds rated Aaa and AAA, respectively by Moody’s Investor Services and Standard and Poor’s, invested substantially in such instruments, and shall reinvest any income from these instruments and the proceeds of these instruments as they mature in similar instruments at their then current

market rates. Meritor shall bear no risk related to the management and investment of the Settlement Fund.

(c) All funds held in the Escrow Account shall be deemed and considered to be in *custodia legis* of the Court, and shall remain subject to the jurisdiction of the Court, until such time as such funds shall be distributed pursuant to this Agreement and/or further order(s) of the Court.

(d) End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor agree to treat the Settlement Fund as being at all times a qualified settlement fund within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1. In addition, Settlement Class Counsel shall timely make such elections as necessary or advisable to carry out the provisions of this Paragraph 27, including the relation-back election (as defined in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-1(j)) back to the earliest permitted date. Such elections shall be made in compliance with the procedures and requirements contained in such regulations. It shall be the responsibility of Settlement Class Counsel to timely and properly prepare and deliver the necessary documentation for signature by all necessary parties, and thereafter to cause the appropriate filing to occur. All provisions of this Agreement shall be interpreted in a manner that is consistent with the Settlement Amount being a “Qualified Settlement Fund” within the meaning of Treasury Regulation § 1.468B-1.

(e) For the purpose of § 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and the regulations promulgated thereunder, the administrator of the Settlement Fund shall be Settlement Class Counsel. Settlement Class Counsel shall timely and properly file all information and other tax returns necessary or advisable with respect to the Settlement Fund (including without limitation the returns described in Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-2(k), (1)). Such returns (as well as the election described in Paragraph 27(d) above) shall be consistent with Paragraph

27(d) and in all events shall reflect that all Taxes, as defined below (including any estimated Taxes, interest or penalties), on the income earned by the Settlement Fund shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund as provided in Paragraph 27(f) hereof.

(f) All (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest or penalties) arising with respect to the income earned by the Settlement Fund, including any taxes or tax detriments that may be imposed upon Meritor or any other Releasee with respect to any income earned by the Settlement Fund for any period during which the Settlement Fund does not qualify as a qualified settlement fund for federal or state income tax purposes (“Taxes”); and (ii) expenses and costs incurred in connection with the operation and implementation of Paragraphs 27(d) through 27(f) (including, without limitation, expenses of tax attorneys and/or accountants and mailing and distribution costs and expenses relating to filing (or failing to file) the returns described in Paragraph 27(e) (“Tax Expenses”)), shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

(g) Neither Meritor nor any other Releasee nor their respective counsel shall have any liability or responsibility for the Taxes or the Tax Expenses. Further, Taxes and Tax Expenses shall be treated as, and considered to be, a cost of administration of the Settlement Fund and shall be timely paid by the Escrow Agent out of the Settlement Fund without prior order from the Court and the Escrow Agent shall be obligated (notwithstanding anything herein to the contrary) to withhold from distribution to any claimants authorized by the Court any funds necessary to pay such amounts including the establishment of adequate reserves for any Taxes and Tax Expenses (as well as any amounts that may be required to be withheld under Treas. Reg. § 1.468B-2(l)(2)). Meritor shall not be responsible or have any liability therefor. End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor agree to cooperate with the Escrow Agent, each other, and their tax attorneys

and accountants to the extent reasonably necessary to carry out the provisions of Paragraphs 27(d) through 27(f).

(h) If this Agreement does not receive final Court approval, including final approval of the Settlement Class as defined in Paragraph 13, or if the Action is not certified as class action for settlement purposes, then all amounts paid by Meritor into the Settlement Fund (other than costs expended or incurred in accordance with Paragraphs 27 and 30), shall be returned to Meritor from the Escrow Account by the Escrow Agent, along with any interest accrued thereon, within thirty (30) calendar days of the court's final determination denying final approval of the Agreement and/or Settlement Class.

28. Injunctive Relief.

Subject to the provisions hereof, and in full, complete, and final settlement of the Action as provided herein, Meritor represents that it has exited the Exhaust Systems business and agrees that it will not engage in conduct that constitutes a *per se* violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act (whether characterized as price fixing, market allocation, bid rigging, or otherwise) with respect to the sale of Exhaust Systems for a period of twenty-four (24) months from the date of the entry of final judgment.

29. Exclusions from the Settlement Class.

Subject to Court approval, any person or entity seeking exclusion from the Settlement Class must file a written request for exclusion from the Settlement Class by the Opt-Out Deadline, which shall be the date set by the Court by which any class member must request exclusion from the Settlement Class. Any person or entity that files such a request shall be excluded from the Settlement Class and shall have no rights with respect to the Settlement Class from which the class member has requested to be excluded. Subject to Court approval,

a request for exclusion that does not comply with all of the provisions set forth in the applicable class notice will be invalid, and the person(s) or entity(ies) serving such an invalid request shall be deemed Settlement Class Member(s) and shall be bound by the Settlement Agreement upon final approval. Settlement Class Counsel shall, within ten (10) business days of the Opt Out Deadline, provide Meritor with a list and copies of all opt out requests it receives in the Action and shall file with the Court a list of all Settlement Class Members who timely and validly opted out of the settlement.

(a) Subject to Court Approval, any member of the Settlement Class who submits a valid and timely request for exclusion from the Settlement Class will not be a Settlement Class Member and shall not be bound by the terms of this Agreement. Meritor reserves all of its legal rights and defenses, including, but not limited to, any defenses relating to whether any excluded member of the Settlement Class is an indirect purchaser of Exhaust Systems, has standing to bring any claim against Meritor, or has claims that are barred by applicable statutes of limitations.

(b) Subject to Court Approval, in the written request for exclusion, the member of the Settlement Class must state his, her, or its full name, street address, and telephone number. Further, the member of the Settlement Class must include a statement in the written request for exclusion that he, she, or it wishes to be excluded from the settlement class. Any member of the Settlement Class that submits a written request for exclusion may also identify the number of Vehicles purchased from January 1, 2002 through the Execution Date of this Agreement as requested in the notice to the Settlement Class as provided in Paragraph 20.

(c) Meritor or Settlement Class Counsel may dispute an exclusion request, and the parties shall, if possible, resolve the disputed exclusion request by agreement and shall inform

the Court of their position, and, if necessary, obtain a ruling thereon within thirty (30) days of the Opt-Out Deadline.

30. Payment of Expenses.

(a) Meritor agrees to permit a reasonable portion of the Settlement Fund to be used towards notice to the Settlement Class and the costs of administration of the Settlement Fund. The notice and administration expenses are not recoverable if this settlement does not become final or is terminated to the extent such funds have actually been expended or incurred for notice and administration costs. Other than as set forth in Paragraphs 27 and 30, Meritor shall not be liable for any of the costs or expenses of the litigation of the Action, including attorneys' fees, fees and expenses of expert witnesses and consultants, and costs and expenses associated with discovery, motion practice, hearings before the Court or Special Master, appeals, trials, or the negotiation of other settlements, or for class administration and costs.

(b) To mitigate the costs of notice and administration, End-Payor Plaintiffs shall use their reasonable best efforts, if practicable, to disseminate notice with any other settlements reached with other defendants in the MDL Litigation and to apportion the costs of notice and administration on a pro rata basis across the applicable settlements.

E. The Settlement Fund.

31. Releasors' sole monetary recourse for settlement and satisfaction against the Releasees of all Released Claims is against the Settlement Amount, and Releasors shall have no other recovery against Meritor or any other Releasee. For the avoidance of doubt, this Paragraph 31 shall not affect Meritor's obligation to provide the cooperation in Paragraphs 35-47.

32. After this Agreement becomes final within the meaning of Paragraph 22, the Settlement Fund shall be distributed in accordance with a plan to be submitted to the Court at the

appropriate time by Settlement Class Counsel, subject to approval by the Court. In no event shall any Releasee have any responsibility, financial obligation, or liability whatsoever with respect to the investment, distribution, or administration of the Settlement Fund, including, but not limited to, the costs and expenses of such distribution and administration except as expressly otherwise provided in Paragraph 30 of this Agreement.

33. End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel shall be reimbursed and indemnified solely out of the Settlement Fund for all expenses and costs, as provided by Court Order. Meritor and the other Releasees shall not be liable for any costs, fees, or expenses of any of End-Payor Plaintiffs or the Settlement Class' respective attorneys, experts, advisors, agents, or representatives, but all such costs, fees, and expenses as approved by the Court shall be paid out of the Settlement Fund.

34. Settlement Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Incentive Awards for Class Representatives.

(a) Settlement Class Counsel may submit an application or applications to the Court ("Fee and Expense Application") for: (i) an award of attorneys' fees not in excess of one-third of the Settlement Fund; plus (ii) reimbursement of expenses and costs incurred in connection with prosecuting the Action and incentive awards, plus interest on such attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses at the same rate and for the same period as earned by the Settlement Fund (until paid), as may be awarded by the Court ("Fee and Expense Award"). Settlement Class Counsel reserves the right to make additional applications for Court approval of fees and expenses incurred and reasonable incentive awards, but in no event shall Meritor or any other Releasees be responsible to pay any such additional fees and expenses except to the extent they are paid out of the Settlement Fund.

(b) Subject to Court approval, End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel shall be reimbursed and paid solely out of the Settlement Fund for all expenses including, but not limited to, attorneys' fees and past, current, or future litigation expenses and incentive awards. Attorneys' fees and expenses awarded by the Court shall be payable from the Settlement Fund upon award, notwithstanding the existence of any timely filed objections thereto, or potential appeal therefrom, or collateral attack on the settlement or any part thereof, subject to Settlement Class Counsel's obligation to make appropriate refunds or repayments to the Settlement Fund with interest, if and when, as a result of any appeal and/or further proceedings on remand, or successful collateral attack, the fee or award of expenses is reduced or reversed, or in the event the Agreement is rescinded or terminated pursuant to Paragraph 27(h) or Paragraph 48.

(c) The procedure for and the allowance or disallowance by the Court of the application by Settlement Class Counsel for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, and incentive awards for class representatives to be paid out of the Settlement Fund is not part of this Agreement, and is to be considered by the Court separately from the Court's consideration of the fairness, reasonableness and adequacy of the Settlement. Any order or proceeding relating to the Fee and Expense Application, or any appeal from any such order shall not operate to terminate or cancel this Agreement, or affect the finality of the final approval of the settlement.

(d) Neither Meritor nor any other Releasee under this Agreement shall have any responsibility for, or interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to any payment to Settlement Class Counsel and/or End-Payor Plaintiffs of any Fee and Expense Award in the Action.

(e) Neither Meritor nor any other Releasee under this Agreement shall have any responsibility for, or interest in, or liability whatsoever with respect to the allocation among

Settlement Class Counsel, End-Payor Plaintiffs and/or any other person who may assert some claim thereto, of any Fee and Expense Award that the Court may make in the Action.

F. Cooperation.

35. In return for the release and discharge provided herein, Meritor agrees to pay the Settlement Amount and be bound by the Injunctive Relief described in Paragraph 28, and further agrees to use its reasonable best efforts to provide satisfactory and timely Cooperation, as set forth specifically in Paragraphs 35-47 below and subject to the limitations created by Meritor's withdrawal from the Exhaust Systems business in May 2007. Cooperation will take place consistent with the timing set forth specifically below, and in a manner that is in compliance with Meritor's obligations to Government Entities (defined as the United States Department of Justice ("DOJ"), the Japanese Fair Trade Commission ("JFTC"), the European Commission ("EC"), or any other government entity), if any.

36. Identity of Individuals. Within five (5) business days of the Execution Date of this Agreement, Counsel for Meritor shall provide Settlement Class Counsel with the identity of all current and former employees, directors and officers of Meritor, if any, who, to Meritor's knowledge: (1) were interviewed and/or prosecuted by any Government Entity in connection with alleged price-fixing, bid rigging and market allocation of Exhaust Systems; (2) appeared before the grand jury in the DOJ's investigation into alleged antitrust violations with respect to Exhaust Systems; and/or (3) were disclosed to the DOJ as having knowledge or information relating to the DOJ's investigation into alleged antitrust violations with respect to Exhaust Systems.

37. Transactional Data. Meritor will use its reasonable best efforts to complete the production of transactional data, if any, no later than thirty (30) days after preliminary approval by the Court of this Agreement, concerning Meritor's sales of Exhaust Systems sold to Original Equipment Manufacturers, or other purchasers of Exhaust Systems from January 1, 2000 through

the date Meritor exited the Exhaust Systems business. Meritor will produce transaction data, if any, only from its existing electronic transaction databases, except that, to the extent Meritor has not recorded or maintained electronic transaction data for any period between January 1, 2000 and its exit from the Exhaust System business, then Meritor will use reasonable best efforts to produce existing hard copy records of sales transactions, if any, not recorded or maintained electronically in the existing electronic sales transaction database.

38. In addition, after conducting a reasonable search, Meritor shall, to the best of its knowledge, identify those Vehicles sold in the United States from January 1, 2002 through the Execution Date of this Agreement that contain Exhaust Systems sold by Meritor.

39. Documents. Meritor will use its reasonable best efforts to produce the following Documents, including English translations, to the extent they exist and are within Meritor's custody or control, no later than thirty (30) days of the Execution Date of the Agreement: (1) Documents, including any translations, provided to or seized by Government Entities relating to their investigation into alleged competition violations with respect to Exhaust Systems; (2) non-privileged Documents concerning Exhaust Systems collected and reviewed in connection with a communication, meeting, or agreement regarding Exhaust Systems, by any employee, officer or director of Meritor with any employee, officer, or director of another manufacturer or seller of Exhaust Systems, but that were not provided to or seized by Government Entities; (3) Documents concerning Meritor's determination of their prices for Exhaust Systems; (4) Documents soliciting requests for quotation ("RFQ"), bids submitted in response to RFQs, RFQ award notifications, and post-award price adjustments for Exhaust Systems, including any Annual Price Reduction (APR) Documents; and (5) Documents or non-public information regarding collusion with respect to any other automotive part that is the subject of the MDL Litigation. As to Documents in Meritor's

possession, custody, or control that are not listed above, Meritor will consider in good faith any reasonable request by End-Payor Plaintiffs to collect and produce such Documents provided the request would not impose an undue burden on Meritor.

40. Attorney Proffers and Witness Interviews. Additionally, Meritor shall use reasonable best efforts to cooperate with Settlement Class Counsel as set forth in this Paragraph 40:

(a) Meritor's counsel will make themselves available at a mutually agreed location in the United States for up to three (3) meetings of one business day each within thirty (30) business days of End-Payor Plaintiffs' request to provide an attorneys' proffer of facts known to them, if any. Thereafter, Meritor's counsel will make themselves available for reasonable follow-up conversations in connection with the attorney's proffers, and will use reasonable best efforts to respond to questions posed by Settlement Class Counsel.

(b) If able to do so, Meritor further agrees to make up to five (5) current employees available for interviews and depositions, provide up to five (5) declarations or affidavits from the same persons, and make those current employees available to testify at trial. The interviews and depositions shall be conducted at a mutually agreed-upon location in the United States, and each deposition shall be limited to a total of seven (7) hours over one (1) day unless the deposition is in a language other than English, in which case the deposition shall be limited to a total of thirteen (13) hours over two (2) days.

(c) In addition to its Cooperation obligations set forth herein, if able to do so, Meritor agrees to produce through affidavit(s), declaration(s), and/or at trial, in Settlement Class Counsel's discretion, representatives qualified to authenticate, establish as business records, or otherwise establish any other necessary foundation for admission into evidence of

any Documents or transactional data produced or to be produced by Meritor. Settlement Class Counsel agree to use their reasonable best efforts to obtain stipulations that would avoid the need to call Meritor witnesses at trial for the purpose of obtaining such evidentiary foundations.

41. In the event that Meritor produces Documents, including translations, or provides declarations or written responses to discovery to any party or nonparty in the actions in the MDL Litigation, concerning or relating to the Action (“Relevant Production”), Meritor shall produce all such Documents, declarations or written discovery responses to End-Payor Plaintiffs contemporaneously with making the Relevant Production. In addition, Meritor shall provide End-Payor Plaintiffs with all cooperation it provides pursuant to any settlement agreement with any other party in this MDL Litigation, including, but not limited to, the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs. To the extent that such cooperation includes any attorney proffer, witness interviews, or depositions of witnesses in addition to those already provided for in Paragraph 40, Settlement Class Counsel shall be permitted to attend and/or participate in such attorney proffer, witness interviews or depositions, and shall be entitled to ask questions for a period up to three (3) hours at any interview or deposition (provided that this shall not expand the time permitted for any deposition). All such additional Cooperation shall be coordinated, to the extent reasonably practicable, between Settlement Class Counsel, settlement class counsel for Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs, and settlement class counsel for the Direct Purchaser Plaintiffs, or such other party whom such cooperation is provided pursuant to a settlement agreement. End-Payor Plaintiffs’ receipt of, or participation in, cooperation provided by Meritor shall not in any way limit End-Payor Plaintiffs’ entitlement to receive Cooperation as set forth in this Agreement, including, but not limited to, attorney proffers, witness interviews, and depositions.

42. This Agreement does not restrict Settlement Class Counsel from noticing, attending and/or participating in any deposition in the MDL Litigation. Settlement Class Counsel may notice, attend, cross-notice and/or participate in any depositions of Meritor's witnesses in addition to the depositions set forth in Paragraph 40, and Settlement Class Counsel together with settlement class counsel for the Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs may ask questions for a combined total of three (3) hours at such deposition, provided that the time for participation of Settlement Class Counsel and settlement class counsel for the Automobile Dealer Plaintiffs shall not expand the time permitted for the deposition as may be provided by the Court, and Settlement Class Counsel will not ask the Court to enlarge the time of any deposition noticed of a Meritor current or former employee. Participation by Settlement Class Counsel in the depositions discussed in this Paragraph will not limit the number of depositions to be provided under Paragraph 40 above. End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel agree to use their reasonable best efforts to ensure that any depositions taken under Paragraph 40 above are coordinated with any other deposition noticed in the MDL Litigation to avoid unnecessary duplication.

43. Notwithstanding any other provision in this Settlement Agreement, Meritor may assert, where applicable, the work product doctrine and the attorney client privilege, the joint defense privilege, and the common interest privilege with respect to any Cooperation Materials requested under this Settlement Agreement. If any Documents or other information protected by the attorney client privilege, the work product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, and/or the common interest privilege are accidentally or inadvertently produced, such Documents or information shall be promptly destroyed, and their production shall in no way be construed to have waived in any manner any privilege or protection attached to such Documents or information. Upon reasonable request, for all Documents withheld from production on the basis of privilege or

any protection, Meritor shall provide a privilege log describing such Documents in sufficient detail as to explain the nature of the privilege asserted or the basis of any other law or rule protecting such Documents. End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Counsel agree they will not use the information provided by Meritor or their representatives under this Agreement for any purpose other than the prosecution of their claims in the MDL Litigation, and will use it in the Action consistent with the Stipulation and Protective Order Governing the Production and Exchange of Confidential Information, Master File No. 2:12-md-02311, Doc. No. 200 (July 10, 2012) ("Protective Order"). All Documents and other Cooperation Materials provided pursuant to this Agreement will be deemed "Highly Confidential," as said designation is described in the Protective Order, and subject to the Protective Order as if they had been produced in response to discovery requests and so designated.

44. Meritor's obligations to provide Cooperation shall not be affected by the releases set forth in this Settlement Agreement. Unless this Agreement is rescinded, disapproved, or otherwise fails to take effect, Meritor's obligations to provide Cooperation under this Agreement shall continue only until otherwise ordered by the Court, or the date that final judgment has been entered in all actions in *In re Automotive Parts Antitrust Litigation*, Master File No. 2:12-md-02311 against all Defendants. For purposes of this Paragraph, the term "final" shall have the same meaning as set forth in Paragraph 22. If this Agreement is rescinded, disapproved, otherwise fails to take effect, or if final judgment has been entered by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan against all defendants in the Action (collectively, "District Court Termination"), unless otherwise agreed by Meritor, within sixty (60) days after District Court Termination, End-Payor Plaintiffs must destroy all Cooperation Materials received from the

Releasees to the extent required by the Protective Order, and must comply with all other terms of the Protective Order governing such return or destruction.

45. In the event that this Agreement fails to receive final approval by the Court, including final approval of the Settlement Class as defined in Paragraph 13, or in the event that it is terminated by either party under any provision herein, the parties agree that neither End-Payor Plaintiffs nor Settlement Class Counsel shall be permitted to introduce into evidence against Meritor, at any hearing or trial, or in support of any motion, opposition or other pleading in the Action or in any other federal or state or foreign action alleging a violation of any law relating to the subject matter of the Action, any Documents provided by Meritor and/or the other Releasees, their counsel, or any individual made available by Meritor pursuant to Cooperation (as opposed to from any other source or pursuant to a court order). This limitation shall not apply to any discovery of Meritor which Settlement Class Counsel participate in as part of the MDL Litigation. Notwithstanding anything contained herein, End-Payor Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class are not relinquishing any rights to pursue discovery against Meritor in the event that this Agreement fails to receive final approval by the Court, including final approval of the Settlement Class as defined in Paragraph 13, or in the event that it is terminated by either party under any provision herein.

46. Meritor and other Releasees need not respond to formal discovery requests from End-Payor Plaintiffs or otherwise participate in the Action during the pendency of this Agreement, with the exception of the Cooperation provisions set forth above in Paragraphs 35-47. Other than to enforce the terms of this Agreement, neither Meritor nor End-Payor Plaintiffs shall file motions against the other, in the Action, during the pendency of this Agreement.

47. If Settlement Class Counsel believes that Meritor or any current or former employee, officer or director of Meritor has failed to cooperate under the terms of this Agreement,

Settlement Class Counsel shall meet and confer with Meritor. Upon reaching an impasse in any meet and confer, Settlement Class Counsel may seek an Order from the Court compelling such Cooperation. Moreover, nothing in this Agreement shall be interpreted to state or imply that Meritor has any control over any former employees, officers or directors, and nothing in this provision shall limit in any way Meritor's ability to defend the level of Cooperation it has provided or to defend its compliance with the terms of the Cooperation provisions in this Agreement.

G. Rescission if this Agreement is Not Approved or Final Judgment is Not Entered.

48. If the Court refuses to approve this Agreement or any part hereof, including if the Court does not certify the Settlement Class in accordance with the specific Settlement Class definitions set forth in this Agreement, or if such approval is modified or set aside on appeal, or if the Court does not enter the final judgment provided for in Paragraph 22 of this Agreement, or if the Court enters the final judgment and appellate review is sought, and on such review, such final judgment is not affirmed in its entirety, then Meritor and End-Payor Plaintiffs shall each, in their sole discretion, have the option to rescind this Agreement in its entirety. Written notice of the exercise of any such right to rescind shall be made according to the terms of Paragraph 60. A modification or reversal on appeal of any amount of Settlement Class Counsel's fees and expenses awarded by the Court from the Settlement Fund shall not be deemed a modification of all or a part of the terms of this Agreement or such final judgment.

49. In the event that this Agreement does not become final as set forth in Paragraph 22, or this Agreement otherwise is terminated pursuant to Paragraph 48, then this Agreement shall be of no force or effect and any and all parts of the Settlement Fund caused to be deposited in the Escrow Account (including interest earned thereon) shall be returned forthwith to Meritor less only disbursements made in accordance with Paragraphs 27 and 30 of this Agreement. Meritor expressly reserves all rights and defenses if this Agreement does not become final.

50. Further, and in any event, End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor agree that this Agreement, whether or not it shall become final, and any and all negotiations, Documents, and discussions associated with it, shall not be deemed or construed to be an admission or evidence of (i) any violation of any statute or law or of any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever by Meritor, or the other Releasees, to be used against Meritor, or of (ii) the truth of any of the claims or allegations contained in the Complaint or any other pleading filed in the MDL Litigation, to be used against Meritor, and evidence thereof shall not be discoverable or used in any way, whether in the MDL Litigation or in any other action or proceeding, against Meritor. Nothing in this Paragraph shall prevent End-Payor Plaintiffs from using Cooperation Materials produced by Meritor against any other defendants in any action in the MDL Litigation or in confidential settlement discussions to establish (i) or (ii) above.

51. This Agreement shall be construed and interpreted to effectuate the intent of the parties, which is to provide, through this Agreement, for a complete resolution of the relevant claims with respect to each Releasee as provided in this Agreement.

52. The parties to this Agreement contemplate and agree that, prior to final approval of the settlement as provided for in Paragraphs 18-22 hereof, appropriate notice 1) of the settlement; and 2) of a hearing at which the Court will consider the approval of this Agreement, will be given to the Settlement Class.

H. Miscellaneous.

53. Meritor shall submit, or shall cause the settlement administrator to submit on its behalf, all materials required to be sent to appropriate Federal and State officials pursuant to the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005, 28 U.S.C. § 1715.

54. This Agreement does not settle or compromise any claim by End-Payor Plaintiffs or any Settlement Class Member asserted in the Complaint or, if amended, any subsequent

complaint, against any Defendant or alleged co-conspirator other than Meritor. All rights against such other Defendants or alleged co-conspirators are specifically reserved by End-Payor Plaintiffs and the Settlement Class. All rights of any Settlement Class Member against any and all former, current, or future Defendants or co-conspirators or any other person other than Meritor and the other Releasees, for sales made by Meritor and Meritor's alleged illegal conduct are specifically reserved by End-Payor Plaintiffs and Settlement Class Members. Meritor's sales to the class and its alleged illegal conduct shall, to the extent permitted or authorized by law, remain in the Action as a basis for damage claims and shall be part of any joint and several liability claims against other current or future Defendants in the Action or other persons or entities other than Meritor's and the other Releasees. Meritor shall not be responsible for any payment to End-Payor Plaintiffs other than the amount specifically agreed to in Paragraph 26 of this Agreement.

55. The United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan shall retain jurisdiction over the implementation, enforcement, and performance of this Agreement, and shall have exclusive jurisdiction over any suit, action, proceeding, or dispute arising out of or relating to this Agreement or the applicability of this Agreement that cannot be resolved by negotiation and agreement by End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor, including challenges to the reasonableness of any party's actions. This Agreement shall be governed by and interpreted according to the substantive laws of the state of Michigan without regard to its choice of law or conflict of laws principles. Meritor will not object to complying with any of the provisions outlined in this Agreement on the basis of jurisdiction.

56. This Agreement constitutes the entire, complete and integrated agreement among End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor pertaining to the settlement of the Action against Meritor, and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous undertakings, communications, representations,

understandings, negotiations and discussions, either oral or written, between End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor in connection herewith. This Agreement may not be modified or amended except in writing executed by End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor, and approved by the Court.

57. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors and assigns of End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, each and every covenant and agreement made herein by End-Payor Plaintiffs or Settlement Class Counsel shall be binding upon all Settlement Class Members and Releasors. The Releasees (other than Meritor entities which are parties hereto) are third-party beneficiaries of this Agreement and are authorized to enforce its terms applicable to them.

58. This Agreement may be executed in counterparts by End-Payor Plaintiffs and Meritor, and a facsimile signature shall be deemed an original signature for purposes of executing this Agreement.

59. Neither End-Payor Plaintiffs nor Meritor shall be considered to be the drafter of this Agreement or any of its provisions for the purpose of any statute, case law, or rule of interpretation or construction that would or might cause any provision to be construed against the drafter of this Agreement.

60. Where this Agreement requires either party to provide notice or any other communication or Document to the other, such notice shall be in writing, and such notice, communication or Document shall be provided by facsimile, or electronic mail (provided that the recipient acknowledges having received that email, with an automatic “read receipt” or similar notice constituting an acknowledgement of an email receipt for purposes of this Paragraph), or letter by overnight delivery to the undersigned counsel of record for the party to whom notice is being provided.

61. Each of the undersigned attorneys represents that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of, and to execute, this Agreement subject to Court approval.

[signature pages follow]

Dated: June 5, 2018

Elizabeth Castillo

Adam J. Zapala
Elizabeth T. Castillo
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
azapala@cpmlegal.com
ecastillo@cpmlegal.com


Hollis Salzman
Bernard Persky
William V. Reiss
Noelle Feigenbaum
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 980-7400
Facsimile: (212) 980-7499
HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com
BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com
WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com
NFeigenbaum@RobinsKaplan.com

Marc M. Seltzer
Steven G. Sklaver
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com

Terrell W. Oxford
Chanler Langham
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Dated: June 5, 2018

Adam J. Zapala
Elizabeth T. Castillo
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
azapala@cpmlegal.com
ecastillo@cpmlegal.com


Hollis Salzman
Bernard Persky
William V. Reiss
Noelle Feigenbaum
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 980-7400
Facsimile: (212) 980-7499
HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com
BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com
WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com
NFeigenbaum@RobinsKaplan.com

Marc M. Seltzer
Steven G. Sklaver
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com

Terrell W. Oxford
Chanler Langham
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Dated: June 5, 2018

Adam J. Zapala
Elizabeth T. Castillo
COTCHETT, PITRE & McCARTHY, LLP
San Francisco Airport Office Center
840 Malcolm Road, Suite 200
Burlingame, CA 94010
Telephone: (650) 697-6000
Facsimile: (650) 697-0577
azapala@cpmlegal.com
ecastillo@cpmlegal.com

Hollis Salzman
Bernard Persky
William V. Reiss
Noelle Feigenbaum
ROBINS KAPLAN LLP
399 Park Avenue, Suite 3600
New York, NY 10022
Telephone: (212) 980-7400
Facsimile: (212) 980-7499
HSalzman@RobinsKaplan.com
BPersky@RobinsKaplan.com
WReiss@RobinsKaplan.com
NFeigenbaum@RobinsKaplan.com




Marc M. Seltzer
Steven G. Sklaver
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1900 Avenue of the Stars Suite 1400
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: (310) 789-3100
Facsimile: (310) 789-3150
mseltzer@susmangodfrey.com
ssklaver@susmangodfrey.com

Terrell W. Oxford
Chanler Langham
SUSMAN GODFREY L.L.P.
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 5100
Houston, TX 77002
Telephone: (713) 651-9366

Facsimile: (713) 654-6666
toxford@susmangodfrey.com
clangham@susmangodfrey.com
oochoa@susmangodfrey.com

*Interim Co-Lead Class Counsel for the Proposed
End-Payor Plaintiff Class*

Dated: June 5, 2018



McGuire Woods LLP
Amy B. Manning (6209407)
Sarah A. Zielinski (6294156)
77 West Wacker Drive
Suite 4100
Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 750-8904
amanning@mcguirewoods.com
szielinski@mcguirewoods.com

Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, P.L.C.
A. Michael Palizzi (P47262)
Larry J. Saylor (P28165)
150 W. Jefferson Avenue
Suite 2500
Detroit, MI 49226
(313) 963-6420
palizzi@millercanfield.com
saylor@millercanfield.com

Attorneys for Meritor, Inc.